Reaction of [RuCl₂(DMSO)₄] with aromatic phosphines bearing *ortho*-methoxy groups †

DALTON FULL PAPER

Yasuhiro Yamamoto,* Ken-ichiro Sugawara, Tsuyoshi Aiko and Jian-Fang Ma

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Toho University, Miyama 2-2-1, Funabashi, Chiba 274-8510 Japan. E-mail: yamamoto@chem.sci.toho-u.ac.jp

Received 9th August 1999, Accepted 5th October 1999

Reaction of $[RuCl_2(DMSO)_4]$ 1 with (2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)diphenylphosphine (MDMPP) in acetone–CH₂Cl₂ at reflux gave *t*,*c*,*c*- $[RuCl_2(MDMPP-\kappa^2P,O)_2]$ 2. Reaction with bis(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)phenylphosphine (BDMPP) afforded the phosphonium salt containing a ruthenium(II) anion, $[BDMPP(CH_2CI)][RuCl_3(DMSO)_3]$ 3b, $[BDMPP(CH_2Cl)][RuCl(DMSO)_2\{PPh(C_6H_3OMe-6-O-2)_2-\kappa^3P,O,O')]$ 4b and $[BDMPP(CH_3)][RuCl(DMSO)_2\{PPh(C_6H_3OMe-6-O-2)_2-\kappa^3P,O,O')]$ 4b and $[BDMPP(CH_3)][RuCl_3(DMSO)_2\{PPh(C_6H_3OMe-6-O-2)_2-\kappa^3P,O,O')]$ 4d $[BDMPP(CH_2CI) = \{2,6-(MeO)_2C_2H_3\}_2PhP(CH_2CI), BDMPP(CH_3) = \{2,6-(MeO)_2C_6H_3\}_2PhP(CH_3)];$ complexes 4 contain tridentate P,O,O' co-ordination in which two methoxy groups of BDMPP were demethylated. These structures were confirmed by X-ray analyses. Tris(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)phosphine (TDMPP) reacted with 1 to give 3c [TDMPP-(CH_2CI)][RuCl_3(DMSO)_3] [TDMPP(CH_2CI) = \{2,6-(MeO)_2C_6H_3\}_3P(CH_2CI)]. Complex 2 reacted with xylyl or mesityl isocyanide to give *mer*-[RuCl_2(MDMPP-*P*)(RNC)_3] 5. Reaction with CO afforded [RuCl_2(MDMPP-*P*)(MDMPP- κ^2P,O)(CO)] 6 and an insoluble unidentified complex. The structure of 6 was confirmed by an X-ray analysis; one MDMPP group acts as a P,O bidentate ligand, the other as a monodentate ligand, and a CO ligand occupies the *trans* position to an oxygen atom. Two Cl and two P atoms were located at mutually *trans* positions. The phosphonium salt [BDMPP(CH_2CI)]Cl, derived from CH_2Cl 2 and BDMPP, reacted with 1 to afford 3b. The reaction of 3b with BDMPP gave 4b and 4d. The reaction pathways are discussed.

Tris(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)phosphine (TMPP) bearing methoxy groups at the 2,4 and 6 positions of the phenyl groups exhibits high basicity and nucleophilicity.¹⁻³ The transition metal chemistry of this phosphine has been studied by Dunbar's group.⁴ It can act as a mono-, bi- and tri-dentate ligand. The reaction of a square-planar complex [Pd(NC-CH₃)₄][BF₄]₂ with TMPP gave a pseudo-octahedral complex [Pd(TMPP-κ³P, O, O')₂][BF₄]₂ defined by two phosphorus and two ether-oxygen atoms in an equatorial arrangement, and two ether-oxygen atoms in axial sites.^{5α} The reaction of the sixco-ordinate complex [Ni(NCCH₃)₆][BF₄]₂ and TMPP gave a square-planar complex *cis*[Ni(TMPP-κ²P, O)₂] in which the metal atom is surrounded by two phosphorus atoms and two phenoxide-O atoms.⁶ Recently, palladium and platinum analogs of the four-co-ordinate nickel complex have been isolated.^{5b}

We have been interested in the reactions of aromatic phosphines bearing methoxy groups at 2,6 positions with transition metal complexes. Aromatic phosphines such as (2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)diphenylphosphine (MDMPP), bis(2,6dimethoxyphenyl)phosphine (TDMPP), and tris(2,6dimethoxyphenyl)phosphine (TDMPP) show a variety of reactivity similar to that of TMPP. We have reported that reactions of bis[(η^6 -arene)dichlororuthenium(II)] or bis[dichloro(η^5 pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)rhodium(III)] with MDMPP, BDMPP or TDMPP gave various types of complexes bearing a *P*, ether-*O* [($\kappa^2 P$,*O*) or ($\kappa^3 P$,*O*,*O'*)] and phenoxide-O coordination [($\kappa^2 P$,*O*) or ($\kappa^3 P$,*O*,*O'*)], depending on the complexes, phosphines and reaction conditions.^{7,8}

Transition-metal complexes of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) are useful starting materials for many inorganic and organometallic compounds.⁹ Recently we have reported that $[RuCl_2-(DMSO)_4]$ in the presence of $Na_2(xdk)_4$ ·H₂O $[H_2xdk =$ *m*-xylenediamine bis(Kemp's triacid imide)]¹⁰ gave $[Ru_2(\mu-Cl)-(\mu-H)(\mu-DMSO-$ *S*,*O* $)Cl_2(DMSO-$ *S* $)_4]$ in which one DMSO acts as a S,O-bidentate ligand.¹¹ We have been interested in reactivities of the aforementioned bulky phosphines having high basicity towards octahedral metal complexes in relation with the difference in co-ordination numbers found in acetonitrile complexes of palladium and nickel.^{5a,6} We found that the octahedral complex [RuCl_2(DMSO)_4] **1** reacted readily with these phosphines in CH₂Cl₂ to generate the [RuCl₃(DMSO-*S*)₃]⁻ anion as the phosphonium salt. This reaction provides a method to convert neutral complexes into anionic ones.

Experimental

All reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere. Complex 1,¹² phosphines (MDMPP, BDMPP and TDMPP),¹ isocyanides¹³ and phosphonium salts¹⁶ ([BDMPP(CH₂Cl)]Cl, [BDMPP(CH₃)]Cl, and [TDMPP(CH₂Cl)]Cl) were prepared according to the literature methods. Dichloromethane was distilled from CaH₂ and diethyl ether from LiAlH₄. Other reagents were available commercially. The infrared and electronic absorption spectra were measured on FT/IR-5300 and U-best 30 spectrometers, respectively, ¹H (250 MHz) and ³¹P-{¹H} NMR spectra using 85% H₃PO₄ as external reference on a Bruker AC250, and fast atom bombardment (FAB) mass spectra on a JMS-DX300 spectrometer.

Reactions of complex 1

With MDMPP. A mixture of complex 1 (72 mg, 0.15 mmol) and MDMPP (208 mg, 0.65 mmol) was refluxed in acetone– CH₂Cl₂ (1:1 ratio, 40 mL). After 1.5 h the solvent was removed to *ca*. 5 ml and diethyl ether added to form reddish violet crystals of *t*,*c*,*c*-[RuCl₂(MDMPP- $\kappa^2 P$, *O*)₂] 2 (31.4 mg, 26%), which were identified by comparison with spectroscopic data of an authentic sample.⁷

J. Chem. Soc., *Dalton Trans.*, 1999, 4003–4008 **4003**

[†] Supplementary data available: rotatable 3-D crystal structure diagram in CHIME format. see http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/1999/4003/

With BDMPP. A mixture of complex 1 (72 mg, 0.15 mmol) and BDMPP (85 mg, 0.22 mmol) was stirred in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at room temperature. After 4 h the solvent was removed and the residue recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂ and diethyl ether to give yellow crystals of [BDMPP(CH₂Cl)][fac-RuCl₃(DMSO-S)₃] 3b (87 mg, 67%). The further recrystallization of the motherliquor gave white crystals of [BDMPP(CH₂Cl)][RuCl(DMSO- S_{2} {PPh(C₆H₃OMe-6-O-2)₂- $\kappa^{3}P,O,O'$ }] **4b** (15.3 mg, 10%) [BDMPP(CH₃)][RuCl(DMSO-S)₂{PPh(C₆H₃OMe-6-Oand $2_{2}-\kappa^{3}P,O,O'$] 4d (2 mg) [BDMPP(CH₃) = PPh{2,6-(MeO)_{2}- C_6H_3 ₂(Me)]. Complex **3b**: FAB MS *m*/*z* 431 (M⁺ of a cation); ¹H NMR(CDCl₃) δ 3.54 (s, DMSO, 18 H), 3.62 (s, OMe, 12 H), 4.86 (d, $J_{PH} = 6.3$ Hz, CH₂, 2 H) and 6.75–7.80 (m, Ph, 22 H); ³¹P-{¹H} NMR(CDCl₃) δ 14.92 (s) (Calc. for C₂₉H₄₃Cl₄O₇-PRuS₃: C, 39.86; H, 4.96; Cl, 16.23; S, 11.01. Found: C, 39.06; H, 4.73; Cl, 15.49; S, 11.30%). Complex 4b: FAB MS: m/z 431 $(M^+ \text{ of a cation}); {}^{1}H NMR(CDCl_3) \delta 2.56 (s, MeS, 3 H), 2.72 (s, MeS, 3 H),$ MeS, 3 H), 2.96 (s, MeS, 3 H), 3.26 (s, MeS, 3 H), 3.41 (s, MeO, 3 H in an anionic species), 3.48 (s, MeO, 3 H in an anionic species), 3.58 (s, MeO in a cationic species, 12 H), 4.89 (d, $J_{PH} = 6.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{PCH}_2, 2 \text{ H}$ and 5.85–7.80 (m, Ph, 22 H); ³¹P-{¹H} NMR(CDCl₃) δ 14.96 (s, phosphonium, 1P) and 67.8 (s). Complex 4d: ¹H NMR(CDCl₃) δ 2.56 (s, MeS, 3 H), 2.72 (s, MeS, 3 H), 2.96 (s, MeS, 3 H), 3.26 (s, MeS, 3 H), 3.41 (s, MeO, 3 H), 3.48 (s, MeO, 12 H), 2.58 (d, J_{PH} = 14.2 Hz, PMe, 3 H), 3.57 (s, MeO in a phosphonium species, 12 H), 5.27 (s, CH₂Cl₂) and 5.75–7.80 (m, Ph, 22 H); ${}^{31}P-{}^{1}H$ NMR(CDCl₃) δ 8.64 (s, phosphonium, 1P) and 67.8 (s, an anion, 1P) (Calc. for C49H61ClO10P2RuS2·1.5CH2Cl2: C, 50.54; H, 5.37. Found: C, 50.82; H, 5.38%).

With TDMPP. A mixture of complex 1 (73 mg, 0.15 mmol) and TDMPP (151 mg, 0.34 mmol) was stirred in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) at room temperature. After 1.5 h the work-up of the reaction mixture and recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂ and diethyl ether gave yellow crystals of [TDMPP(CH₂Cl)][*fac*-RuCl₃-(DMSO-*S*)₃] **3c** (69 mg, 71%). FAB MS *m*/*z* 491 (M⁺ of a cation). ¹H NMR(CDCl₃) δ 3.55 (s, DMSO, 18 H), 3.60 (s, OMe, 18 H), 4.86 (d, *J*_{PH} = 7.0 Hz, CH₂, 2 H), 6.6 (m, *m*-H, 6 H) and 7.56 (t, *p*-H, 3 H). ³¹P-{¹H} NMR(CDCl₃) δ 9.99 (s). Calc. for C₃₁H₄₇Cl₄O₉PRuS₃: C, 39.87; H, 5.07. Found: C, 39.46; H, 4.97%.

With [TDMPP(CH₂Cl)]Cl. A mixture of complex 1 (144.3 mg, 0.30 mmol) and phosphonium salt 5c (292.4 mg, 0.59 mmol) was stirred in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature. After 3 h the solution was concentrated to *ca*. 3 mL and diethyl ether added to yield yellow crystals of 3c (220 mg, 79%). Compound 3b was prepared from 1 and [BDMPP(CH₂Cl)]Cl in a similar manner.

Reaction of complex 3b with BDMPP

A mixture of complex **3b** (50.6 mg, 0.06 mmol) and BDMPP (100 mg, 0.26 mmol) was refluxed in MeOH (20 mL) for 3 h. The solution was concentrated to *ca*. 3 mL and diethyl ether added to give white crystals of **4d** (33.3 mg, 53.6%) and a small amount of **4b**.

Reactions of complex 2

With 2,6-Xylyl isocyanide (XylNC). A mixture of complex 2 (73 mg, 0.089 mmol) and XylNC (42 mg, 0.32 mmol) was stirred in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at room temperature. After 6 h the solvent was removed to *ca*. 5 mL and diethyl ether added to form yellow crystals of *mer*-[RuCl₂(MDMPP-*P*)(XylNC)₃] **5a** (39 mg, 49%). IR(Nujol): 2168 and 2110 cm⁻¹ (N=C). UV-vis(CH₂Cl₂) λ_{max} 324 nm. ¹H NMR(CDCl₃) δ 2.30 (s, *o*-Me, 12 H), 2.54 (s, *o*-Me, 6 H), 3.05 (s, OMe, 6 H) and 6.43–8.23 (m, Ph, 22 H). ³¹P-{¹H} NMR(CDCl₃) δ 21.40 (s). Calc. for C₄₇H₄₆Cl₂N₃O₂PRu: C, 63.58; H, 5.22; N, 4.73. Found: C, 63.62; H, 5.16; N, 4.78%.

According to the above procedures, the mesityl isocyanide complex, *mer*-[RuCl₂ (MDMPP-*P*)(MesNC)₃] **5b** (45%) was obtained from the reaction of **2** with mesityl isocyanide (MesNC). IR(Nujol) 2170 and 2110 cm⁻¹ N(\equiv C). UV-vis(CH₂Cl₂) λ_{max} 324 nm. ¹H NMR(CDCl₃) δ 2.23 (s, *o*-Me, 18 H), 2.24 (s, *p*-Me, 3 H), 2.48 (s, *p*-Me, 3 H), 3.06 (s, OMe, 6 H) and 6.43–8.22 (m, Ph, 19 H). ³¹ P-{¹H} NMR(CDCl₃) δ 21.83 (s). Calc. for C₅₀H₅₂Cl₂N₃O₂PRu: C, 64.58; H, 5.64; N, 4.52. Found: C, 64.28; H, 5.56; N, 4.34%.

With CO. Into a solution of complex 2 (72 mg, 0.088 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (35 mL) was bubbled carbon monoxide for 5 min at room temperature. After 5.5 h the resulting white precipitate (74.7 mg) was filtered off, and the filtrate concentrated to *ca*. 5 mL. Diethyl ether was added to give yellow crystals of [RuCl₂(MDMPP-*P*)(MDMPP- $\kappa^2 P$, *O*)(CO)] **6** (4 mg, 6%). FAB MS: *m*/*z* 844 (M⁺) and 816 (M – CO⁺). IR(Nujol) 1962 cm⁻¹ (C=O). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 3.53 (s, MeO, 12 H) and 6.6–8.1 (c, Ph, 23 H). ³¹P-{¹H} NMR(CD₂Cl₂) δ 30.31 (br s, 1P). White solid: IR(Nujol) 2010 and 1983 cm⁻¹ (C=O). Constant values were not obtained in the elemental analysis.

Crystallography

Complexes **3b**, **4b**, **5a**, **5b** and **6** were recrystallized from CH_2Cl_2 -diethyl ether. The crystal parameters along with data collection are summarized in Table 1. Data intensities were measured by the 2θ - ω scan method using graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation (λ = 0.71069 Å) at 27° and corrected for Lorentz-polarization effects. No decay was observed. Absorption corrections were made with empirical ψ scans. Atomic scattering factors were taken from Cromer and Waber.¹⁴ Anomalous dispersion effects were included in F_{calc} .¹⁵ the values of $\Delta f'$ and $\Delta f''$ were those of Creagh and McAuley.¹⁶ All calculations were package.¹⁷

All structures were solved by Patterson methods (DIRDIF 92)¹⁸ and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, and hydrogen atoms were calculated at the ideal positions with C–H distances of 0.95 Å.

CCDC reference number 186/1676.

See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/1999/4003/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

Results and discussion

Reactions in dichloromethane

When [RuCl₂(DMSO)₄] 1 was treated with four equivalents of MDMPP in CH₂Cl₂ 1 was recovered quantitatively, but the reaction in refluxing acetone-CH2Cl2 gave the known reddish violet compound t,c,c-[RuCl₂(MDMPP- $\kappa^2 P,O)_2$] 2 (Scheme 1).⁷ A similar reaction of 1 with BDMPP in a 1:2 molar ratio in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature afforded a yellow compound (main product) formulated as [BDMPP(CH₂Cl₂)] [RuCl₃-(DMSO-S)₃] 3b in addition to two white complexes (minor products), [BDMPP(CH₂Cl)][RuCl(DMSO-S)₂{PPh(C₆H₃-OMe-6-O-2)₂- $\kappa^{3}P$, O, O'] 4b and [BDMPP(CH₃)] [RuCl- $(DMSO-S)_{2}\{PPh(C_{6}H_{3}OMe-6-O-2)_{2}-\kappa^{3}P,O,O')\}]$ 4d. The FAB mass spectroscopy of 3b and 4b showed the same molecular peak at m/z 431 which was consistent with the presence of $[BDMPP(CH_2Cl)]^+$. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **3b** showed two singlets at δ 3.54 and 3.62, and a doublet at δ 4.86, in a 18:12:2 intensity ratio, assignable to DMSO, methoxy and methylene groups, respectively. In the ³¹P-{¹H} NMR spectrum only one peak appeared at δ 14.92. These spectroscopic data suggested a salt-like compound [BDMPP(CH₂Cl)][RuCl₃(DMSO-S)₃]. The stereochemistry was confirmed by an X-ray analysis (Fig. 1). A similar conversion into a metal complex anion has been noted in the reaction of iron(III) chloride with TMPP to afford

Table 1Crystal data of [BDMPP(CH2Cl)][*fac*-RuCl₃(DMSO-5)₃]3b, [BDMPPCH2Cl)][RuCl(DMSO-5)₂ {PPh(C₆H₃OMe-6-O-2)₂- $\kappa^3 P$, O, O'}]4b, [RuCl₂(MDMPP)(XyINC)₃]5a, [RuCl₂(MDMPP)(MesNC)₃]5b and [RuCl₂(MDMPP)(MDMPP- $\kappa^2 P$, O)(CO)]6

	3b	4b	5a	5b	6
Formula	C29H43Cl4O2PRuS3	C48H59Cl4O10P2RuS2	C47H46Cl2N3O2Ru	C ₅₀ H ₅₂ Cl ₂ N ₃ O ₂ PRu	C42H40Cl4O5P2Ru
M	873.69	1164.94	887.85	929.23	929.60
Space group	$P2_1$ (no. 4)	<i>P</i> 1 (no. 2)	$P2_1/n$ (no. 14)	$P2_1$ (no. 4)	<i>P</i> 1 (no. 2)
aĺÅ	13.824(3)	14.253(3)	11.441(5)	11.667(3)	13.70(1)
b/Å	9.671(3)	16.592(3)	19.851(3)	20.205(4)	14.555(9)
c/Å	15.292(2)	12.623(3)	19.068(3)	19.932(4)	12.346(7)
a/°		102.13(2)			101.25(5)
βl°	112.35(1)	110.07(2)	83.17(2)	93.17(2)	114.69(5)
γl°		102.09(2)			68.35(5)
V/Å ³	1890.8(9)	2609(1)	4323(2)	4691(1)	2075(2)
Ζ	2	2	4	4	2
μ/cm^{-1}	9.46	7.01	5.64	5.23	7.55
R, R' (reflections	0.037, 0.041 (for 2858)	0.051, 0.064 (for 4831)	0.048, 0.065 (for 2846)	0.053, 0.111 (for 4334)	0.073, 0.098 (for 5251)



Scheme 1 Reactions of complex 1 with MDMPP, BDMPP and TDMPP; POMe = MDMPP; $OPO = PPh\{(2-O-6-MeO)_2C_6H_3\}_2$.

unexpectedly the dinuclear iron(II) chloride anion $[Fe_2Cl_6]^{2-}$ as the phosphonium salt $[{2,4,6-(MeO)_3C_6H_2}_3 PH]^+$.¹⁹

The ¹H NMR spectrum of complex **4b** showed seven singlets at δ 2.56, 2.72, 2.96, 3.26, 3.41, 3.48 and 3.58, in a 1:1:1:1:1:1:4 intensity ratio, in the methyl region and a doublet at δ 4.89 due to a methylene group. The chemical shifts of the resonances at δ 3.58 and 4.89 were in good agreement with those found for [BDMPP(CH₂Cl)]⁺. The two resonances at δ 3.41 and 3.48 were tentatively assigned to the methoxy groups and others to the DMSO ligands in the anionic species [RuCl(DMSO-*S*)₂{PPh(C₆H₃OMe-6-O-2)₂-P,O,O'}]. Six methyl groups due to DMSO and the tridentate P,O,O' ligand were inequivalent. The ³¹P-{¹H} NMR spectrum showed two resonances at δ 14.96 and 67.8 (s); the former was again similar to that found for [BDMPP(CH₂Cl)]⁺.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of the anionic species [RuCl(DMSO-S)₂PPh(C₆H₃OMe-6-O-2)₂- $\kappa^2 P$, O, O'}] in **4d** was in good agreement with that found in **4b**, whereas the resonances of a cationic species appeared at δ 2.58 due to the CH₃(P) protons as a doublet and at δ 3.57 due to the methoxy groups as a singlet, being similar to those for [BDMPP(CH₃)]Cl. Formation of **4d** showed that cation exchange had occurred.

Compound 1 reacted readily with TDMPP in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature to give only yellow crystals of [TDMPP-CH₂Cl][RuCl₃(DMSO)₃] **3c** in high yield. The FAB mass spectroscopy showed a molecular peak (m/z 491) of [TDMP-P(CH₂Cl)]⁺. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed two singlets at δ 3.55 and 3.60 and a doublet at δ 4.86 in a 18:18:2 intensity ratio, assignable to the DMSO, methoxy and methylene protons, respectively.

In attempts to examine the possible routes for formation of complexes 3 and 4, the reaction of 1 with $[BDMPP(CH_2Cl)]Cl$ was carried out at room temperature. It gave the corresponding complex 3b in relatively high yield. Complex 3b reacted with an

excess of BDMPP in MeOH to produce 4d as the main product and 4b as the minor one, suggesting the occurrence of cation exchange between $[BDMPP(CH_2Cl)]^+$ and $[BDMPP(CH_3)]^+$. These results can be explained as follows. The reaction starts with the formation of the phosphonium salt, derived from BDMPP and CH₂Cl₂, and subsequently leads to 3b by a nucleophilic attack of a Cl anion on the ruthenium atom of 1. Compound 3b reacts with an excess of phosphine to liberate two CH₃Cl molecules and is finally converted into 4b.

The formation of the phosphonium salt is assumed to be a driving force for these reactions, which do not occur in acetone. The reactions of TDMPP, BDMPP or MDMPP with CH_2Cl_2 are known to form the corresponding phosphonium salts, [XDMPP(CH_2Cl)]Cl (X = M, B or T). The half-lives increase in the order of TDMPP, BDMPP and MDMPP, being 57, *ca.* 750 and 3740 min at 23 °C, respectively.²⁰ Since the formation of the phosphonium salt of MDMPP is very slow, the replacement of DMSO with phosphine proceeded to give **2** before the formation of a phosphonium salt, but **3c** was isolated exclusively because of the easy formation of the phosphonium salt. For BDMPP the formation of the phosphonium salt and the conversion of the resulting **3b** into **4b** are considered to occur concomitantly.

Reactions of complex 2

When complex 2 was treated with XylNC or MesNC in refluxing CH₂Cl₂, yellow crystals formulated as [RuCl₂(MDMP-P)(RNC)₃] (R = Xyl; **5a** or Mes **5b**) were isolated (Scheme 1). The IR spectra of **5** showed two bands at *ca*. 2170 and 2110 cm⁻¹ due to the terminal isocyanide groups. The ¹H NMR spectra showed resonances due to two kinds of isocyanide groups in a 1:2 intensity ratio. These spectroscopic data suggested a meridional structure. The X-ray studies were in agreement with the proposed structure (Figs. 3 and 4).

Table 2 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) of [BDPMPP(CH2Cl)][RuCl3(DMSO-S)3] **3b** and [BDPMPP(CH2Cl)][RuCl(DMSO)2- {PPh(C6H3OMe-6-O-2)2- $\kappa^3 P, O, O'$ }] **4b**

Compound 3b Ru–Cl(2) Ru–S(1) S(1)–O(5) P–C(1) P–C(23)	2.420(2) 2.276(2) 1.452(6) 1.811(7) 1.829(6)	Ru–Cl(3) Ru–S(2) S(2)–O(6) P–C(7)	2.438(2) 2.268(2) 1.472(5) 1.795(7)	Ru–Cl(4) Ru–S(3) S(3)–O(7) P–C(15)	2.435(2) 2.263(2) 1.481(5) 1.800(7)		
Cl(2)-Ru-Cl(3) Cl(2)-Ru-S(1) Cl(2)-Ru-S(3) Cl(3)-Ru-S(1) Cl(3)-Ru-S(3) Cl(4)-Ru-S(2)	86.41(6) 173.66(7) 93.10(7) 91.24(7) 87.31(7) 90.54(7)	Cl(2)-Ru-Cl(4) Cl(2)-Ru-S(2) Cl(3)-Ru-Cl(4) Cl(3)-Ru-S(2) Cl(4)-Ru-S(1) Cl(4)-Ru-S(3)	88.43(7) 86.34(7) 89.86(7) 172.72(7) 85.67(7) 176.70(8)	S(1)-Ru-S(2) S(2)-Ru-S(3) C(1)-P-C(15) C(7)-P-C(15) C(15)-P-C(23)	96.03(7) 92.48(7) 113.4(3) 110.1(3) 104.1(3)	S(1)-Ru-S(3) C(1)-P-C(7) C(1)-P-C(23) C(7)-P-C(23)	92.67(7) 111.5(3) 102.3(3) 115.1(3)
Compound 4b							
Ru–P(1) Ru–Cl(1) S(1)–O(5) O(2)–C(6) P(2)–C(25) P(2)–C(47)	2.257(2) 2.482(2) 1.470(7) 1.315(7) 1.816(6) 1.818(6)	Ru–S(1) Ru–O(2) S(2)–O(6) O(3)–C(9) P(2)–C(33)	2.250(2) 2.089(4) 1.398(6) 1.379(8) 1.790(6)	Ru–S(2) Ru–O(4) O(1)–C(2) O(4)–C(13) P(2)–C(41)	2.216(2) 2.084(4) 1.364(7) 1.308(7) 1.791(7)		
Cl(1)-Ru-S(1) Cl(1)-Rh-S(1) Cl(1)-Ru-O(4) (1)-Ru-P(1) S(1)-Ru-O(4) S(2)-Ru-O(2) P(1)-Ru-O(2) O(2)-Ru-O(4)	88.06(7) 165.96(6) 88.0(1) 99.06(7) 175.2(1) 176.4(1) 80.3(1) 88.3(1)	Cl(1)-Ru-S(2) Cl(1)-Ru-O(2) S(1)-Ru-S(2) S(2)-Ru-O(2) S(2)-Ru-P(1) S(2)-Ru-O(4) P(1)-Ru-O(4) Ru-O(2)-C(6)	91.55(6) 87.8(1) 94.75(6) 88.8(1) 99.84(6) 88.2(1) 84.2(1) 119.7(4)	$\begin{array}{l} O(2)-C(6)-C(1)\\ Ru-P(1)-C(1)\\ O(4)-C(13)-C(8)\\ Ru-P(1)-C(8)\\ C(25)-P(2)-C(33)\\ C(33)-P(2)-C(41)\\ C(41)-P(2)-C(47) \end{array}$	122.2(5) 102.3(2) 123.4(5) 101.2(2) 108.7(3) 110.4(3) 103.9(3)	P(1)-C(1)-C(6) Ru-O(4)-C(13) P(1)-C(8)-C(13) C(25)-P(2)-C(41) C(25)-P(2)-C(41) C(33)-P(2)-C(47)	109.9(4) 118.5(3) 112.6(5) 114.5(3) 114.5(3) 113.5(3)





C7 C21 w 02 01 'C1 03 .05 $P1_{c}$ \$2 C23 C24C32 ักช C26 C46 C40 O10 C31 6 C41/ P2 CA7C33 Cl2 09

Fig. 1 An ORTEP²¹ diagram of a cation and an anion of complex 3b with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level (as in all figures).

Carbon monoxide was bubbled into a solution of complex 2 in CH_2Cl_2 for *ca.* 5 min at room temperature. A white precipitate formed rapidly, and a small amount of yellow crystals **6** was isolated from the reaction solution (Scheme 1). The white solid was insoluble in various solvents and its IR spectrum showed the presence of terminal carbonyl bands (2010 and 1983 cm⁻¹). The molecule is assumed to be polymeric but the

Fig. 2 An ORTEP diagram of a cation and an anion of complex 4b.

C39

structure is unknown at present. The FAB mass spectrum of **6** showed a molecular peak at m/z 844 corresponding to [RuCl₂(MDMPP)₂(CO)]. The IR spectrum showed a band at 1962 cm⁻¹ assignable to a terminal carbonyl group. X-Ray crystallographic analysis showed that one of the MDMPP groups acts as a P,O-bidentate ligand and the other one as a monodentate ligand (Fig. 5). The two phosphorus atoms and two chlorine atoms are each mutually *trans* showing that a *cis*-to-*trans* isomerization had occurred in the equatorial plane. This can be

Compound 5a		Compound 5b	
Ru(1)-Cl(1)	2.434(2)	Ru(1)-Cl(1)	2.423(2)
Ru(1)-Cl(2)	2.421(2)	Ru(1)-Cl(2)	2.439(2)
Ru(1) - P(1)	2.401(2)	Ru(1) - P(1)	2.408(2)
Ru(1) - C(21)	1.985(9)	Ru(1) - C(21)	2.008(9)
Ru(1) - C(30)	1.966(9)	Ru(1) - C(31)	1.967(9)
Ru(1)–C(39)	2.002(8)	Ru(1)-C(41)	1.989(9)
C(21) - N(1)	1.162(10)	C(21) - N(1)	2.008(9)
C(30) - N(2)	1.17(1)	C(31) - N(2)	1.16(1)
C(39)–N(3)	1.146(9)	C(41)–N(3)	1.16(1)
$C_{1}(1) = \mathbf{P}_{1}(1) = C_{1}(2)$	174 19(0)	$C_{1}^{1}(1) = \mathbf{P}_{1}^{1}(1) = C_{1}^{1}(2)$	174 56(9)
Cl(1) - Ru(1) - Cl(2)	1/4.18(9)	CI(1) - Ru(1) - CI(2) CI(1) - Ru(1) - D(1)	1/4.30(8)
CI(1) - Ru(1) - P(1)	93.52(8)	CI(1) - Ru(1) - P(1)	92.59(8)
CI(1) - Ru(1) - C(21)	92.0(2)	CI(1) - Ru(1) - C(21)	88.1(2)
CI(1) - Ru(1) - C(30)	88.2(3)	CI(1) - Ru(1) - C(31)	87.4(3)
Cl(1) - Ru(1) - C(39)	93.1(2)	CI(1) - Ru(1) - C(41)	86.9(3)
Cl(2)-Ru(1)-P(1)	92.29(8)	Cl(2)-Ru(1)-P(1)	92.84(8)
Cl(2)-Ru(1)-C(21)	87.5(2)	Cl(2)-Ru(1)-C(21)	92.0(2)
Cl(2)-Ru(1)-C(30)	86.0(3)	Cl(2)-Ru(1)-C(31)	87.2(3)
Cl(2)-Ru(1)-C(39)	87.0(2)	Cl(2)-Ru(1)-C(41)	92.4(3)
P(1)-Ru(1)-C(21)	91.8(2)	P(1)-Ru(1)-C(21)	91.4(2)
P(1)-Ru(1)-C(30)	177.7(2)	P(1)-Ru(1)-C(31)	176.7(3)
P(1)-Ru(1)-C(39)	92.6(2)	P(1)-Ru(1)-C(41)	94.9(2)
C(21)-Ru(1)-C(30)	89.7(3)	C(21)-Ru(1)-C(31)	85.3(3)
C(21)-Ru(1)-C(39)	173.0(3)	C(21)-Ru(1)-C(41)	172.1(3)
C(30)-Ru(1)-C(39)	85.7(3)	C(31)-Ru(1)-C(41)	88.3(3)
Ru(1)-C(21)-N(1)	174.5(7)	Ru(1)-C(21)-N(1)	172.8(7)
C(21)-N(1)-C(22)	170.0(8)	C(21)-N(1)-C(22)	169.4(9)
Ru(1)-C(30)-N(2)	176.8(7)	Ru(1)-C(31)-N(2)	177.4(8)
C(30) - N(2) - C(31)	171.8(8)	C(31) - N(1) - C(32)	175.8(9)
Ru(1) - C(39) - N(3)	172.0(7)	Ru(1) - C(41) - N(3)	171.9(7)
C(39) - N(3) - C(40)	170.1(8)	C(41) - N(1) - C(42)	169.1(9)



Fig. 3 An ORTEP diagram of complex 5a.

explained by the stereospecific addition of CO to a five-coordinate intermediate formed by initial dissociation of one of the ether oxygen atoms. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed only one singlet at δ 3.53 for methoxy groups, incompatible with the structure in the solid state, due to rapid ligand exchange among four methoxy groups.

Crystal structures

Complexes 3b and 4b. Perspective drawings of complexes **3b** and **4b** are illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 2. The molecules of **3b** and **4b** consist of the discrete salts; the anions are $[RuCl_3(DMSO-S)_3]^-$ for **3b** and $[RuCl(DMSO-S)_2\{PPh(C_6H_3OMe-6-O-2)_2-P,O,O')]^-$ for **4b**, and the cation has a common structural unit [BDPMPP(CH₂Cl)]⁺.

The geometry of the anion of complex **3b** has a facial octahedral structure and the A–Ru–B angles between *cis* ligands fall in the range 86–93°. The average Ru–Cl and Ru–S bond lengths are 2.430 and 2.269 Å, respectively. These bond lengths were in agreement with those in the anionic part of $[NH_2Me_2]$ - $[RuCl_3(DMSO)_3]^{.22}$

The Ru atom in the anion of complex **4b** is in a distorted octahedral configuration and is surrounded by two DMSO molecules, a Cl anion and a tridentate P,O,O' ligand derived



Fig. 4 An ORTEP diagram of complex 5b.



Fig. 5 An ORTEP diagram of complex 6.

from demethylation of two methoxy groups of BDMPP. The Cl atom is trans to the P atom (Fig. 2). The S-Ru-P and S-Ru-S angles are ca. 99 and 95°, respectively. These larger than ideal angles are traced back to the P-Ru-O angles of ca. 84°, which arise from liberation of steric interaction between DMSO ligands and the phosphorus ligand, and the formation of the five-membered chelate rings. Other angles around the Ru atom are near 90°. The Ru–O bond distances of 2.09 Å are similar to those of other ruthenium complexes.⁷ The Ru-S(1) length of 2.250(2) Å and Ru–S(2) of 2.216(2) Å are slightly shorter than those in **3b**, suggesting that the *trans* influence of a Cl ligand is higher than that of a O ligand. The S(2)-O(6) distance of 1.398(6) Å is shorter by 0.07 Å in comparison with those in **3b**. The Ru-Cl bond length in 4b is longer by 0.21 Å than the average bond length of **3b**, suggesting that phosphine has a greater trans influence than the DMSO ligand. A similar trend has been observed in DMSO complexes of platinum.²³ The O(2)-C(6) and O(4)-C(13) bond lengths are shorter by 0.07 Å than those of the O(1)-C(2) and O(3)-C(9) lengths.

The cations in complexes 3b or 4b are typical of those in phosphonium salts. The geometry around the P(2) atom is quasi-tetrahedral.

Complexes 5a and 6b. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 3. The adjacent A–Ru–B bond angles of **5a** and **5b** fall in the range $90 \pm 3^{\circ}$, and the molecules adopt an octahedral configuration. Three isocyanide ligands occupy the meridional positions and two Cl atoms are in mutually *trans* positions. The Ru–C bond length (1.966 Å) of isocyanide at the *trans* position to the phosphine ligand is not significantly different from other Ru–C bond lengths of *ca*. 2.000 Å. The Ru–C–N and C–N–C bond angles in isocyanide ligands are near the ideal values.

Complex 6. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 4. Two P and two Cl atoms each occupy mutually *trans* positions. The CO ligand is in a *trans* position to the ether oxygen atom (Fig. 5). The Ru–O(4) bond length of 2.270 Å is longer by 0.03 Å than those found in **2**.^{7b} The Ru–P(2) bond length (2.339 Å) in the chelated ligand is shorter than non-chelated Ru–P(1) of 2.422 Å, resulting in different

Table 4Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) of $[RuCl_2(MDMPP-(MDMPP-\kappa^2 P, O)(CO)] 6$

Ru–Cl(1)	2.393(2)	Ru–Cl(2)	2.381(2)
Ru-P(1)	2.422(2)	Ru-P(2)	2.339(2)
Ru-O(4)	2.270(5)	Ru–C(41)	1.810(7)
C(41)–O(1)	1.142(9)	O(2)–C(34)	1.363(9)
O(3)–C(38)	1.38(1)	O(4)–C(2)	1.403(9)
O(5)–C(6)	1.339(9)		
Cl(1)-Ru-Cl(2)	168.84(7)	Cl(1)-Ru-P(1)	91.18(7)
Cl(1)-Ru-P(2)	85.28(7)	Cl(1)-Ru-O(4)	84.5(2)
Cl(1)-Ru-C(41)	97.3(3)	Cl(2)-Ru-P(1)	89.97(7)
Cl(2)-Ru-P(2)	93.59(7)	Cl(2)-Ru-O(4)	87.4(3)
Cl(2)-Ru-C(41)	93.8(3)	P(1)-Ru-P(2)	176.44(8)
P(1)– Ru – $O(4)$	101.8(1)	P(1)-Ru-C(41)	89.0(2)
P(2)-Ru-O(4)	78.3(1)	P(2)-Ru-C(41)	91.0(2)
Ru–O(4)–C(2)	117.3(4)	O(4)-C(2)-C(1)	118.0(2)
Ru-P(2)-C(1)	102.4(2)	P(2)-C(1)-C(2)	117.8(6)
Ru–C(41)–O(1)	175.9(2)		

co-ordination modes, and is longer by 0.1 Å than the corresponding bond lengths in $2^{,7b}$ due to the greater *trans* influence of the phosphorus ligand than the ether O.

Acknowledgements

We thank Professor Shigetoshi Takahashi and Ms Fumie Takei in The Institute of Industrial and Scientific Research, Osaka University for measurements of FAB mass spectra. One of the authors (J.-F. M.) acknowledges the 60th Aniversary Foundation of Toho University.

References

- 1 (*a*) M. Wada and S. Higashimura, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Commun.*, 1984, 482; M. Wada, S. Higashimura and A. Tsuboi, *J. Chem. Res.*, 1985, (*S*) 38, (*M*) 467.
- 2 M. Wada and A. Tsuboi, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1987, 151.
- 3 M. Wada, A. Tsuboi, K. Nishimura and T. Erabi, *Nippon Kagaku Kaishi*, 1987, 1284; H. Kurosawa, A. Tsuboi, Y. Kawasaki and M. Wada, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1987, **60**, 3563.
- 4 K. R. Dunbar, S. C. Haefner and L. E. Pence, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 5504; K. R. Dunbar, S. C. Haefner and D. J. Burzynski, Organometallics, 1990, 9, 1347; S.-J. Chen and K. R. Dunbar, Inorg. Chem., 1990, 29, 588; K. R. Dunbar, S. C. Haefner and A. Quillevere, Polyhedron, 1990, 9, 1695; K. R. Dunbar, S. C. Haefner and P. M. Swepston, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 460; S.-J. Chen and K. R. Dunbar, Inorg. Chem., 1990, 29, 2018; K. R.

Dunbar, A. Quillevere and S. C. Haefner, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C, 1991, 47, 2319; K. R. Dunbar and L. E. Pence, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C, 1991, 47, 23; S. C. Haefner, K. R. Dunbar and C. Bender, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 9540; S. C. Haefner and K. Dunbar, Organometallics, 1992, 11, 1431; J. I. Dulebophn, S. C. Haefner, K. R. Dunbar and K. A. Berglund, Chem. Mater., 1992, 4, 506; K. R. Dunbar, Comments Inorg. Chem., 1992, 6, 313; K. R. Dunbar and A. Quillevere, Polyhedron, 1993, 12, 807; Organometallics, 1993, 12, 618; K. R. Dunbar, J. H. Matonic and V. P. Saharan, Inorg. Chem., 1994, 33, 25; K. R. Dunbar, S. C. Haefner, C. E. Uzelmeier and A. Howard, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1995, 240, 527; L.-J. Baker, R. C. Bott, G. A. Bowmaker, P. C. Healy, B. W. Skelton, P. A. Schwerdtfeger and A. H. White, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1995, 1341 and refs. therein.

- 5 (a) K. R. Dunbar and J.-S. Sun, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 2387; (b) J.-S. Sun, C. E. Uzelmeier D. L. Ward and K. R. Dunbar, Polyhedron, 1998, 11/12, 2049.
- 6 K. R. Dunbar, J.-S. Sun and A. Quillevéré, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, 33, 3598.
- 7 (a) Y. Yamamoto, R. Sato, M. Ohshima, F. Matsuo and C. Sudoh, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 489, C68; Y. Yamamoto, R. Sato, F. Matsuo, C. Sudoh and T. Igoshi, Inorg. Chem., 1996, 35, 2329.
- 8 X.-H. Han and Y. Yamamoto, J. Organomet. Chem., 1998, 561, 157.
- 9 W. L. Reynolds, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 1970, 12, 1.
- 10 T. Tanase and S. J. Lippard, Inorg. Chem., 1995, 34, 4682.
- 11 T. Tanase, T. Aiko and Y. Yamamoto, Chem. Commun., 1996, 2341.
- I. P. Evans, A. Spencer and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1973, 206; (b) E. Alessio, G. Balducci, M. Calligaris, G. Costa, W. M. Attia and G. Mestroni, Inorg. Chem., 1991, 30, 609.
 H. H. Walborsky and G. E. Niznik, J. Org. Chem., 1972, 37, 187.
- 14 D. T. Cromer and J. T. Waber, International Tables for X-Ray
- Crystallography, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1974, Table 2.2A.
 J. A. Ibers and W. C. Hamilton, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 1964, 17, 781.
- 16 D. C. Creagh and W. J. McAuley, *International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography*, Kluwer, Boston, 1992, vol. C, Table 4.2.6.8, pp. 200–206.
- 17 TEXSAN, Crystal Structure Analysis Package, Molecular Structure Corporation, Houston, TX, 1985 and 1992.
- 18 P. T. Beurskens, G. Admiraal, G. Beurskens, W. P. Bosman, S. Garcia-Granda, R. O. Gould, J. M. M. Smits and C. Smykalla, DIRDIF 92, Technical Report of the Crystallographic Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, 1992.
- 19 K. R. Dunbar and A. Quillevéré, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1993, 32, 293.
- 20 M. Wada, Synth. Org. Chem., 1986, 44, 957.
- 21 C. K. Johnson, ORTEP II, Report ORNL-5138, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 1976.
- 22 R. S. McMillan, A. Mercer, B. R. James and J. Trotter, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1975, 1006.
- 23 P. Kapoor, K. Luvquist and A. Oskarsson, J. Mol. Struct., 1998, 470, 39.

Paper 9/06435D